

## South Carolina House of Representatives

P. O. BOX 11867 • COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211 • TELEPHONE 734-2010

March 5, 2021

K. Earle Powell, Executive Director South Carolina Legislative Audit Council 1331 Elmwood Ave., Suite 315 Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Plantation Lakes Homeowners Association/ South Carolina Public Service Authority [i.e. SCPSA/Santee Cooper]

Dear Director Powell,

Mr. Bob Sweet, Chair of the Plantation Lakes Property Owners' Association Street Lights Committee, has forwarded the enclosed information about charges from the South Carolina Public Service Authority [SCPSA, or generally referred to as Santee Cooper by him] they have been paying. As full disclosure, Rep. McGinnis is a resident of this development.

After reviewing his materials, and given his estimates calculated from the data the SCPSA provided to him, we, the undersigned, have genuine questions and concerns about the bills this state-owned entity is sending to this POA, as well as to other POAs in the SCPSA service areas. We are told SCPSA has over 530 developments with arrangements similar to the one they have with Plantation Lakes.

To us, it is past time, especially given the headlines and news articles about the SCPSA's operations over the past few years, for the SC Legislative Audit Council to review and report on street lighting program[s], revenues, and expenditures undertaken by SCPSA. We refer to the materials, estimate calculations, and other materials shared with us by Chairman Sweet for the scope of your review. Please conduct an audit and report to us what you find.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our concerns, and prompt response to our request.

Sincerely,

Rep. Timothy A. "Tim" McGinnis

Rep. Lee Hewitt

Le Heritt

TM/ss/mar5-21-2

Enclosure

## Determining a Fair Rate Schedule For Leasing Streetlights To HOAs by Santee Cooper

Updated: Feb 15, 2021

### Background:

For decades, Santee Cooper (SC) has charged HOAs/POAs for utility-owned streetlights using rates SC established which they claim only cover ongoing expenses...and therefore will <u>never</u> be reduced.

Numerous communities have questioned this however, pointing out that their cumulative lease payments have amounted to more than twice the original cost of the lighting system...and are destined to continue at the current rate <u>in perpetuity</u>!

### See 1st Attachment:

At the request of Plantation Lakes, a Myrtle Beach community, Santee Cooper provided a Rate Calculation worksheet purporting to demonstrate how their current charges are determined. Their example uses 220 light fixtures and poles of the same type as those installed at Plantation Lakes and assigns a charge in seven different categories <u>without explaining how those charges are derived</u>. The Calculation worksheet totals reflect the monthly lease charges for each light fixture and pole (\$11.70 and \$22.30 respectively), as listed in Santee Cooper's OL-17 Rate Schedule.

<u>Note:</u> Another concern: There is a <u>HUGE</u> disparity between lease costs, based on which equipment the community developer decides to install. For example, Plantation Lakes' poles are <u>four times</u> more expensive to lease than the standard poles installed in many communities, (\$22.30 vs. \$5.66/month <u>each</u>).

### See 2<sup>nd</sup> attachment:

Plantation Lakes has developed an alternative rate structure. It's an attempt to provide good-faith estimates for all cost factors and then apply the remainder of monthly payments to paying down the original system costs. At Plantation Lakes' request, Santee Cooper provided the original cost of its outdoor lighting system as \$717,793. Under this construct, Santee Cooper should have recovered the entire original cost of the lighting system—with interest—after 13.87 years. If this approach is reasonable, from then on Plantation Lakes should have only been required to pay for ongoing expenses.

Whether inadvertent or deliberate, there have been numerous instances of overcharging by entities that weren't subject to close oversight. For example, in May 2019, TransDigm Group was found to have overcharged on 46 of 47 different parts sold to the Defense Department. They agreed to reimburse the government \$16.1 million.\* Certainly, TransDigm had a rate schedule to justify their charges, but hadn't previously been required to submit it for audit/review.

To our knowledge, the details behind Santee Cooper's rate schedule have not been reviewed by an <u>outside</u> source, so accomplishing that would seem most appropriate.

\*See: https://www.govexec.com/defense/2019/05/defense-contractor-accused-overcharging-will-repay-16-million/157288/

### Request:

We request an outside opinion/audit to determine (1) if our alternate approach is reasonable and (2) if it's based on sound financial/accounting principles.

Sincere thanks for any assistance possible to determine a rate structure that's fair and reasonable for <u>both</u> Santee Cooper and the communities they serve.

Additional information/clarification can be obtained from:

~ Bob Sweet Chairman, Street Lights Committee Plantation Lakes POA bsweet731@gmail.com

# Santee Cooper Lighting Rate Calculation Example All capital and rate values are from 2015 Senten Concer-Nate Stady

apital Calculations	175W MH Hampton Fixtures	14' Fiberglase Low Country Fluted Poles	
Labor	98.70	185.87	
Eguipment	14.89	59.90	
Mati-Fixture	450.00		
Mati-Other	17.85		
Overhead	98 19	199.27	
Alloc, Cost	76.77	151,52	
CIFR	74.25	103,90	
Total Capital Cost per Item	\$832.66	52,021.98	
Simulated Bill Calculations*			
Charles Charles (hards unrichand)	1.40%	1,10%	
Monthly Facilities Charge (From Facilities Charge) worksheet) Monthly Lease Charge (Total Capital Times Facilities Charge)	\$11.70	\$22.30	
Total Fixtures	220	220	Total
Total Monthly Estimated Costs	\$2,574.00	\$4,986.00	\$7,480.00
Estimated Monthly Itemized Costs			
QE14 Expense	5203,92		
interest Payment			
Printipal Payment	\$1,297,00		
OF & Payment To State			
Total Faculties Charge	\$2,574,00	54,906,00	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Calculations use polymore battere type and one pule type for simplification

	Testament.	LED/Non-Wood Poles	Wood Poles	
	Fixtures	Fores		Street lighting
				Maintenance
				Expenses /
				Street lightin
O&M Expense	1,25%	1.25%	1.25%	Gross Plant
Years Debt Service Paid	10	15	30	
Interest Payment	5.00%	5,00%	5,00%	
Principal Payment	7.95%	4,63%	1,51%	
Total Annual Debt Service Percentage	12.95%	9.63%	6.51%	
Total of Q&M Expenses and Debt Service	14.20%	10.88%	7.76%	
CIF Requirement and Payment to State	1.58%	1,21%	0.88%	
Annual Facilities Charge	15,78%	12.09%	8,62%	
				Used in 'Bill
				Calculation'
Monthly Facilities Charge	1.40%	1.10%	0.80%	Section.
Facilities Charge Breakdown		BANGWAY 1		
O&M Expense	7.92%	10.34%	14,51%	
Interest Payment	31.59%	41,34%	58,03%	
Principal Payment	50.39%	38.32%	17.47%	
CJF & Payment To State	19,00%	19,993	100.00%	
Total Facilities Chargo	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	-

## STREET LIGHTS MONTHLY EXPENSES and DEBT SERVICE

Location: Plantation Lakes POA
Lights owned and serviced by: Santee Cooper
Original value of system as provided by Santee Cooper: \$717,793
Number of outdoor light poles: 220

### MONTHLY EXPENSES:

Insurance *	\$ 500
Maintenance * (25 lights/year@ \$325/light)	\$ 677
Interest * (6% average; diminishing principal)	\$1,792
Management, Overhead *	\$ 417
TOTAL	\$3,386

### DEBT SERVICE:

Monthly lease payments	\$7,700
Less monthly expenses	\$3,386
Monthly amount available for debt retirement	\$4,314

### MONTHLY LEASE PAYMENT <u>AFTER</u> DEBT RETIREMENT:

Adjusted total: (Insurance, maintenance, mgt, overhead) \$1,594

#### NOTES:

- \* "Monthly expenses" are an attempt to provide good faith estimates in each listed category. These
  figures can be refined should specific data be provided by Santee Cooper or other reliable source(s).
  Process and data used for determining estimates above are available upon request.
- Amount available each year to be applied to debt retirement: (\$4,314 x 12 = \$51,768)
- Number of years to pay off the initial cost: 13.87 years (\$717,793 divided by \$51,768/year)
- \$92,400/year x 13.87 years = \$1,281,588. Total amount paid to Santee Cooper, enabling them to recoup estimated total costs. This is 1.79 times the original value of the lighting system.
- Questions? Clarifications? Contact:

   Bob Sweet
   Chairman, Street Lights Committee,
   Plantation Lakes POA
   bsweet731@gmail.com